AS ACTED UPON DURING A DULY NOTICED OPEN MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HENRIETTA, COUNTY OF MONROE, STATE OF NEW YORK, HELD AT THE HENRIETTA TOWN HALL AT 475 CALKINS ROAD, HENRIETTA, NEW YORK ON JULY 13, 2022 AT 6:00 P.M.

RESOLUTION #16-227/2022 To Issue a Negative Declaration for the TownePlace Suites by Marriott.

On Motion of Seconded by

Councilmember Bolzner Councilmember Bellanca

WHEREAS, the Town of Henrietta received an application (the "Application") from Indus Development Company, LLC (the "Applicant") requesting Special Use Permit approvals for the development of the property known as TownePlace Suites by Marriott, in an Industrial Zoned District, on a future 2.7 +/- acre parcel being part of Tax Account No. 175.11-1-13.2 (the "Property"), as follows: (1) to construct a four-story hotel with approximately 89 rooms; and (2) to construct said hotel with a height of 52 feet whereas Town Code only permits a height of 40 feet (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Town Board was established as the Lead Agency by Resolution #12-197/2022 on June 9, 2022, and conducted a coordinated environmental review in accordance with the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"); and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has carefully reviewed and considered all documentary, testimonial, and other evidence presented to the Town Board prior to, at, and subsequent to a Public Hearing held on the Applications on July 13, 2022, together with input from Town staff, and other advisory Boards, and other agencies; and

WHEREAS, having considered carefully all relevant documentary, testimonial, and other evidence submitted, and the Town Board having carefully considered and taken a hard look at all potential environmental impacts of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has completed Parts 2 and 3 of the EAF, which are attached hereto, and has carefully considered the information contained therein.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Henrietta Town Board hereby approves the attached Part 2 of said EAF.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby finds that the proposal will not have any significant adverse environmental impacts, as more fully set forth in the record, and in Part 3 of the EAF, including in the attachment thereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby approves Part 3 of the EAF, including the narrative attached thereto, confirming its findings in Part 2 of the EAF, and setting forth its basis and reasoning for finding that there are no potential significant adverse environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with its adoption of Part 3 of the EAF, the Town Board hereby issues a Negative Declaration with regard to the action, finding that the proposal will not have any significant adverse environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board directs that the Negative Declaration be filed in accordance with SEQR Regulations, that the Supervisor is authorized to execute such necessary documents and to take such other actions as will facilitate an orderly and proper SEQR process.

Duly put to a vote:

Councilmember Sefranek
Councilmember Bolzner
Councilmember Page
Councilmember Bellanca
Supervisor Schultz
Aye

RESOLUTION ADOPTED

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:		
TownePlace Suites by Marriott		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
Kenneth Drive, Town of Henrietta. North of Lehigh Station Road, and West of I-390		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):		
The proposal is subdivide a +/-4.5 acre parcel into 2 lots for the development of a 4-story (in the Town. Access to the hotel will be from two entrances off Kenneth Drive. The site in utility services and proposed stormwater management area. A Special Use Permit for ma Industrial district are being requested from the Town of Henrietta Town Board.	provements will include assoc	iated parking areas, along with
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone:	
Indus Development Company LLC (Jett Mehta)	E-Mail:	
Address: 950 Panorama Trail South		
City/PO: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14625
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:	·
	E-Mail:	
Address:	,	
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	
Fairlane Drive, LLC	E-Mail:	
Address:	1	
745 South Garfield Road, Suite A		
City/PO: Traverse City	State: Michigan	Zip Code: 49686

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sport assistance.)	sorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, ta	ax relief, and any othe	r forms of financial
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or	
a. City Counsel, Town Board, ✓ Yes□No or Village Board of Trustees	Town Board - Special Use Permits (building height & allowed use)	June 2022	
b. City, Town or Village ✓ Yes No Planning Board or Commission	Town Planning Board - Site Plan & Subdivision	July 2022	
c. City, Town or ☐Yes☑No Village Zoning Board of Appeals			
d. Other local agencies ✓Yes□No	Town DPW - Highway & sewer permit	July 2022	
e. County agencies ✓ Yes ☐ No	Monroe County Pure Waters - sewer connection MCWA & MCDPH - Water service connection	July 2022	
f. Regional agencies ☐Yes☑No			
g. State agencies ✓Yes□No	NYSDOT - Traffic review	June 2022	
h. Federal agencies ☐Yes☑No			
i. Coastal Resources.i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or	r the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	aterway?	□Yes ∠ No
ii. Is the project site located in a communityiii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion	with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalizate Hazard Area?	tion Program?	□ Yes☑No □ Yes☑No
C. Planning and Zoning			
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.			
 Will administrative or legislative adoption, or an only approval(s) which must be granted to enable. If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. If No, proceed to question C.2 and con 			∐Yes ∏ No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.			
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, vill where the proposed action would be located?) include the site	Z Yes□No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include spe would be located?		proposed action	∠ Yes□No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any le Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); design or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s): NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor	ocal or regional special planning district (for e ated State or Federal heritage area; watershed		∠ Yes□No
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or part or an adopted municipal farmland protection If Yes, identify the plan(s):		pal open space plan,	∐Yes ∏ No

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? Industrial	Z Yes□No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	∠ Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?	Yes Z No
i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	1036110
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Rush-Henrietta Central School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? Monroe County Sheriff and NYS Police	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? Henrietta Fire District	
d. What parks serve the project site? Martin Road Park, Veterans Memorial Park, Kenwick Park	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)? Commercial (hotel)	l, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? +/- 4.5 acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? +/- 2.7 acres c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned	
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? +/- 2.7 acres (hotel lot to be ow	rned by applicant)
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % Units:	Yes No No housing units,
square feet)? % Units: d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	Z Yes □No
If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) Commercial	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed? 2(1 new)	□Yes ☑ No
iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum+/- 1.8 Maximum+/- 2.7 e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?	☐ Yes Z No
i. If No, anticipated period of construction:ii. If Yes:12 months	∐ Y es Z INo
 Total number of phases anticipated Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year Anticipated completion date of final phase month year Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progred determine timing or duration of future phases: 	ss of one phase may

f. Does the project include new residen				☐Yes ☑ No
If Yes, show numbers of units proposed		Tl F	Maltinla Famila (fama a mana)	
	<u> Family</u>	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase				
At completion of all phases				
or an phases				
g. Does the proposed action include ne	w non-residential	construction (incl	uding expansions)?	Z Yes□No
If Yes,				
i. Total number of structuresii. Dimensions (in feet) of largest prop	1	1/ 50! haight	+/ 71' width, and -// 220' langth	
iii. Approximate extent of building spa	ace to be heated o	+/- 52 _1161g111, r cooled:	+/- 50,490 square feet (total gr	oss building area)
h. Does the proposed action include con				✓ Yes No
liquids, such as creation of a water s				M Les INO
If Yes,	approprieser (on,)	poira, iaire, waste i	ageon of other storage.	
i. Purpose of the impoundment: stormy		ity treatement	<u> </u>	
ii. If a water impoundment, the princip	oal source of the v	vater:	Ground water 🗸 Surface water strear	ns Other specify:
iii. If other than water, identify the type	of impounded/co	entained liquids ar	nd their source	
iii. If other than water, identify the type	or impounded/co	ontained riquids an	id then source.	
iv. Approximate size of the proposed is	mpoundment.	Volume:	tbd million gallons; surface area:	0.2 acres
v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or	r impounding stru	cture: th	od height;tbd length	
	the proposed dan	n or impounding st	tructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, cond	erete):
Earth fill				
D.2. Project Operations				
· · ·		·	1. '	
(Not including general site preparation)			luring construction, operations, or both?	∐ Y es ✓ No
materials will remain onsite)	on, grading of his	tanation of utilities	s of foundations where all excavated	
If Yes:				
<i>i</i> .What is the purpose of the excavation	on or dredging? _			
i. What is the purpose of the excavation ii. How much material (including rock,	earth, sediments,	etc.) is proposed	to be removed from the site?	
 Volume (specify tons or cubic 	yards):			
• Over what duration of time?	· C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 1 1 . 1	
iii. Describe nature and characteristics	of materials to be	excavated or dred	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispose	e of them.
iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or	processing of exc	avated materials?		☐Yes ☐No
If yes, describe.				
v. What is the total area to be dredged		im o?	acres	
vi. What is the maximum area to be we vii. What would be the maximum depth	orked at any one to	ime:	acres feet	
vii. Will the excavation require blastin	o?	dredging:	leet	∐Yes ∏No
<i>ix.</i> Summarize site reclamation goals an				
b. Would the proposed action cause or				☐Yes ✓No
into any existing wetland, waterbod	y, shoreline, beac	h or adjacent areas	?	
If Yes:	which mould be	ffooted (by	woton indox number wetlerd	on on goo onon!.!.
description):			water index number, wetland map numb	er or geographic

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placem alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in sq	
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□Yes□No
iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☐ No
• acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
• purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? If Yes:	Z Yes □No
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: +/-9,790 gallons/day	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? If Yes:	□Yes □No
Name of district or service area: MCWA, Town Water District 129	
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	✓ Yes No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	∠ Yes No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes ✓ No
 Do existing lines serve the project site? 	∠ Yes No
<i>iii</i> . Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	□Yes ☑ No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
<i>iv</i> . Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If, Yes:	☐ Yes Z No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	✓ Yes □No
If Yes:	
 i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: +/-9,790 gallons/day ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe a 	Il components and
approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
sanitary wastewater	
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	Z Yes □No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Van Lare Treatement Plant	
Name of district: Monroe County Pure Waters / Town of Henrietta Sewer District 163	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	Z Yes □No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	Z Yes □No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes Z No

Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	Z Yes □ No
• Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	□Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	☐Yes Z No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
 Applicant/sponsor for new district: Date application submitted or anticipated: 	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including speci	ifying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
.: Describe and all and a design to reach a second and a limit and to	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	Z Yes □ No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	W 1 C31 1 O
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or Square feet or 1.5 acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or 2.7 acres (parcel size) ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
u. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent pro-	roperties,
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	
on-site detention stormwater management facility/structures	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
if to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies of wetlands.	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	✓ Yes No
iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	□Yes ☑ No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? If Yes, identify:	
<i>i</i> . Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes ☑ No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes: i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	□Yes□No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (includent landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes: i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination mediate electricity, flaring):	easures included in project design (e.g., combustion	Yes No to generate heat or
		_
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutary quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., d.)		∐Yes ∏ No
i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply) ☐ Randomly between hours of to	*(Based on trip generations calculations/memo provi):	ided to the Town)*
	very vehicles for routine services	
 iii. Parking spaces: Existing	isting roads, creation of new roads or change in exist outh to an internal private drive available within ½ mile of the proposed site? portation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electroscopic and the proposed site?	Yes No ing access, describe: Yes No ic Yes No
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of to be determined upon final mechanical design of hotel ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project other): local electricity provider (RG&E) iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to	the proposed action:ct (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via gr	rid/local utility, or ☐Yes \ No
I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: Monday - Friday: 7 am - 7 pm Saturday: 7 am - 5 pm Sunday: if needed 7 am to 5 pm Holidays: n/a	 ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday: Saturday: Sunday: Holidays: 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours 	S

	Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	✓ Yes □ No
	operation, or both?	
	ves: Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	
ι	Noise from construction activities over the ambient noise levels is anticipated during the construction phase of the project listed	herein Operational
noise	e levels are not anticipated to exceed ambient noise levels.	петент. Орегацина
ii.	Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	☐ Yes Z No
	Describe:	
n. '	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	Z Yes □No
	yes:	
i.	Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
	Proposed dark sky compliant LED fixtures within proposed parking areas and building mounted lighting as appropriate.	
	Will 1	
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	☐ Yes Z No
	Describe: current vacant (not wooded) parcel.	
o.]	Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	☐ Yes Z No
	If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest	
	occupied structures:	
	Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	☐ Yes Z No
	or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	
	Yes:	
i.	Product(s) to be stored	
	Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
lll.	Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
	Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides,	✓ Yes ☐ No
	insecticides) during construction or operation?	
	Yes:	
ı	Describe proposed treatment(s): Pesticides may be used for typical lawn and landscape maintenance	
	r esticides may be used for typical lawif and landscape maintenance	
	. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	✓ Yes ☐ No
	Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	☐ Yes ☑ No
	of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	
	Yes:	
i.	Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
	• Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
	 Construction: tons per (unit of time) Operation: tons per (unit of time) Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: 	
11.	Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste:	
	• Construction:	
	• Operation:	
	• Operation:	
iii.	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
	• Construction:	
	Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? If Yes: i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities): ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing: • Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or • Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment			
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:		1' 1 01 1	
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commer waste?	rcial generation, treatment, sto	orage, or disposal of hazard	ous ∐Yes ✓ No
If Yes:			
<i>i.</i> Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	generated handled or manag	ed at facility:	
w 1 (will) of wil iluzuruo we (will) of collection to co	gonoraca, namara ar manag		
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving h	nazardous wastes or constituen	its:	
0 '0 '1 1 1 1 1 1	/ .1		
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generatedto iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ons/month ycling or reuse of hazardous c	onstituents:	
****	00 1 1 1 0 11		
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing	g offsite hazardous waste facili	ity?	□Yes□No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:			
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous v	wastes which will not be sent	to a hazardous waste facilit	y:
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action			
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses. i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site. ☐ Urban ☑ Industrial ☑ Commercial ☐ Residential (suburban) ☐ Rural (non-farm) ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other (specify): ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:			
Commerical Uses, Office Buildings, I-390, Truck /Trailer D	Dealer , hotels		
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change
Covertype	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious			
surfaces	0	+/- 1.5 acres	+ 1.5 acres
Forested	0	0	0
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	. / 0.0		0.5
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	+/- 2.6 acres	+/- 0.1 acres	- 2.5 acres
Agricultural	0	0	0
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	U	U	U
Surface water features	0	+/- 0.2 acres (pond)	+ 0.2 acres
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0	17- 0.2 dores (porta)	1 0.2 doic3
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0	0	0
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0	0	0
• Other			
Describe: Lawn	+/- 0.1	+/- 1 acres	+ 0.9 acres
	-		

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain:	□Yes☑No
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities:	∏Yes ∏ No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam?	☐ Yes Z No
If Yes:	
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
Dam height: feetDam length: feet	
~ ^	
Surface area: acresVolume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facil If Yes:	☐Yes Z No ity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	☐ Yes Z No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurre	ed:
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any	☐Yes ✓ No
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes:	
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Neither database	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?	☐Yes Z No
If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control	•	□Yes□No
If yes, DEC site ID number:		
Describe the type of institutional control (e.g.	., deed restriction or easement):	
Describe any use illilitations: Describe any engineering controls:		
Will the project affect the institutional or eng	gineering controls in place?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Explain:		
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project	site? > 12 feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?		☐ Yes Z No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bed	rock outcroppings?%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	Cayuga Silt Loam (D soils)	0 %
71 (/1 1 3		0 %
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the p	project site? Average: > 12 feet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained		
	Well Drained:% of site	
✓ Poorly Drain		
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with		
	10-15%:% of site	
	☐ 15% or greater:% of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project		☐ Yes Z No
If Yes, describe:		
h. Surface water features. i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetland	ds or other waterhodies (including streams, rivers	□Yes √ No
ponds or lakes)?	is of other waterbodies (including streams, rivers,	
<i>ii.</i> Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the pr	oject site?	□Yes ∠ No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.		
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or a	djoining the project site regulated by any federal,	☐Yes Z No
state or local agency?	dy on the project site, provide the following information:	
	Classification	
Lakes or Ponds: Name	Classification	
Wetlands: Name	Approximate Size	
 Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) 		
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the mos waterbodies?	t recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired	☐ Yes ☑ No
	for listing as impaired:	
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?		□Yes Z No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?		□Yes Z No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?		□Yes Z No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoint If Yes:	ning, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer?	□Yes ☑ No
i. Name of aquifer:		
1		

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site: small mammals (rabbits / squirrels)	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation):	□Yes ☑ No
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation: iii. Extent of community/habitat: Currently: Following completion of project as proposed: Gain or loss (indicate + or -): acres acres	
 o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened specific species and listing (endangered or threatened): i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened): 	☐ Yes No vies?
 p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? If Yes: i. Species and listing: 	□Yes ☑ No
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:	□Yes ☑ No
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	□Yes ☑ No
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):	∐Yes Z No
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: ☐ Biological Community ☐ Geological Feature ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes: i. CEA name: ii. Basis for designation: iii. Designating agency and date:	□Yes ☑ No

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a be which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, of Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for If Yes:	or that has been determined by the Commission	
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐Archaeological Site ii. Name:	☐Historic Building or District	
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:		
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an are archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (State Preservation Office)		Z Yes □No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been it. If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:		□Yes [No
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes:	publicly accessible federal, state, or local	□Yes Z No
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway over		scenic byway,
etc.): iii. Distance between project and resource:	miles.	
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 		☐ Yes No
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in	n 6NYCRR Part 666?	□Yes □No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify you If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.		npacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge of the service of t	F (20) (22)	
Applicant/Sponsor Name BME Associates (agent for applicant)	Date5/20/22	
Signature Rebecca Spuri Rebecca Spurr, P.E.	Title Project Engineer	
Redecca Spuit, L.E.		



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	No
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	No
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No

E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

MEMORANDUM

To:

Chris Martin, P.E.; Town of Henrietta

From: Rebecca Spurr, P.E.; BME Associates

Date:

May 20, 2022

Re:

TownePlace Suites by Marriott - Kenneth Drive

Trip Generation Calculations

2849

The following information relating to the proposed site generated traffic is provided to the Town for review and sharing with the New York State Department of Transportation, in order to obtain an opinion on the need for additional traffic analysis of the area transportation network as a result of this proposed project.

Indus Development Company LLC is proposing to subdivide a single ±4.5-acre parcel into two lots and develop a 4-story (±13,500 sf footprint) Townplace Suites by Marriott hotel that allows extended stays on a ±2.7-acre lot. The project site is located on the eastern side of Kenneth Drive, adjacent to I-390 to the east, north of Lehigh Station Road and the newly constructed Taco Bell. Access to the site will be via a new curb cut to Kenneth Drive and an internal connection to the existing private drive serving Taco Bell.

In discussions with the proposed owner and operator of the proposed hotel, the AM peak hours for the hotel are 6 AM to 8 AM, and the PM peak hours are 6 PM to 8 PM. These peak times are historically when guests tend to check in and check out of hotels of similar type to the proposed.

The trip generations for the site are summarized in the table below based upon the ITE Trip Generation Manual (10th Edition). Enclosed are the ITE data reports for the AM and PM peak hour based upon 89 occupied hotel rooms.

Trip Generation Calculation Table - ITE Trip Generation 10th Edition Manual Research Data:

Type of Land	ITE	Unit	Weeko	lay AM	Peak	Weekday	PM Pe	ak
Use	Code	Ont	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit	Total
			Generation	n Rate	= 0.65	Generation	n Rate	= 0.73
Hotel	310	89 Occupied Rooms	55%	45%	100%	57%	43%	100%
			32	26	58	37	28	65
1		enerated Trips ly occupied hotel)	32	26	58	37	28	65

Given these relatively low peak hour volumes and the spread of the peak hour trips over a larger time frame, we do not believe any additional analysis of the Kenneth Drive/Lehigh Station Road intersection is necessary. The Town is in possession of ample information on the operation of this intersection and the associated volumes; such that it can be concluded the additional projected traffic will not adversely alter the operations of the intersection.

Please contact our office if you require any additional information. Encl.

Hotel (310)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Occupied Rooms

On a: Weekday,

AM Peak Hour of Generator

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban


Number of Studies: 22 Avg. Num. of Occupied Rooms: 280

Directional Distribution: 55% entering, 45% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Occupied Room

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.65	0.27 - 1.51	0.27

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10th Edition • Institute of Transportation Engineers

Hotel (310)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Occupied Rooms

On a: Weekday,

PM Peak Hour of Generator

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban


Number of Studies: 22 Avg. Num. of Occupied Rooms: 280

Directional Distribution: 57% entering, 43% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Occupied Room

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.73	0.25 - 1.07	0.23

Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10th Edition • Institute of Transportation Engineers

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

	Agency Use Only [If applicable]
Project:	TownePlace Suites by Marriott
Date:	

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

This were the question in a reasonable mainter constacting the scale and content of	r the project.		
1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)	□NC		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	Dle		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	it 🔽 NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	ЕЗс		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	✓NO) <u> </u>	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d		

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□NO er.		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c	Ø	
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	Ø	
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	Ø	
h. Other impacts:		Ø	
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	✓ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. no. 1f "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	✓NO	□YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. ar	nd b.)	✓NO	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land 	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a E1 a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)	Z NO □YES		
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	Relevant Part I	No, or small	Moderate
	Question(s)	impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:	E3h		
i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work	E2q,		
ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources			
The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) [YES
ij ies , unswer questions u - e. ij ivo , go to section ii.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	☑	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	Ø	
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g	Ø	

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	√ N0	o [YES
2) 100) go to 20000 12.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ No) [YES
ij les , unswer questions a c. ij no , go to section 13.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1, D.2.;)	s. 🔽 NO	о 🔲	YES
(See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.			
ij Tes , unswer questions a - j. ij 140 , go to section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.		o 🔽	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	Ø	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	Ø	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	Dlg	Ø	
e. Other Impacts:			
	•		
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC) /	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	☑	
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	V	П

d. The proposed action may result in light siming onto adjoining properties.	DZII	¥.	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:			
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)	D	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g		
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			
	1		

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
	<u> </u>		
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	✓NO		YES
ey every marror greatering a great special recommendation of the second	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g		
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4		
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a		
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3		
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3		
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h		
	125, 1211		

Project : To

TownePlace	Suites	by	Marriot

Date: 7/08/2022

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: Part 1

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

	Attach additional sheets, as needed. The results of the determination of no significant adverse impact for the Proposal known as the
TownePlac	e Suites by Marriott located on Kenneth Drive in the Town of Henrietta, NY (Monroe County).
	Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions
SEQR St	tatus: Type 1
SEQR St	tatus:

Part 2

✓ Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the Henrietta Town Board as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: TownePlace Suites by Marriott
Name of Lead Agency: Henrietta Town Board
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Stephen L. Schultz
Title of Responsible Officer: Town Supervisor
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date: 7/13[2672]
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date: 7/13/2072 Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) Date: 7/08/2022
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Christopher E. Martin, P.E.
Address: P.O. Box 999, 475 Calkins Road, Henrietta, NY 14467
Telephone Number: (585) 359-7070
E-mail: cmartin@henrietta.org
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

Part 3 – Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance (FEAF)

TownePlace Suites by Marriott Hotel - Indus Development Company LLC

1. Impact on Land:

- a. The depth to the water table is greater than 3 feet, and thus there will be no potential impact from construction or development of the property as a result of the presence of groundwater. A proposed stormwater management facility located on the site after construction will have engineered outlet structures and will be part of the project's stormwater management design, controlling the ponding water level.
- b. The project site does contain a small area in the northeast corner of the site with existing slopes greater than 15%, approximately 1% of the total site. The existing sloped area is mostly manmade from previous land grading activities for the Calkins Road Professional Business Park construction and 280 Kenneth Drive site development adjacent to the north of the proposed site. Construction activities for the proposed development within slope areas greater than 15% will be completed with appropriate stabilization measures as outlined by the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control.
- c. There are no bedrock outcroppings on site nor is bedrock expected to be encountered within five feet of the surface.
- d. There is no potential impact as a result of excavation and removal of soil from the site. The EAF Workbook is clear that this question pertains to a minimum 12 months of successive soil removal and for operations such as mining. The Workbook also specifically identifies as a small impact any excavation and removal of material during the construction phase of the project. The project will likely result in the export of excess topsoil material, but it will be a short finite duration activity completed with the completion of construction on-site. The clearing and grading construction will be completed per the Town of Henrietta requirements, and the NYSDEC Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control Practices. The appropriate design, notes, and details are provided on the plans.
- e. The project will not include construction in multiple phases. The use of heavy equipment and any stockpiling of soils and any visual temporary changes to the site as a result of the site development and excavating will not be occurring on site longer than 1 year.
- f. The project will have no to a small impact concerning soil erosion and the potential for impacts from construction are minimal. From site observation there is no current evidence of soil erosion. The development of the site will include the implementation of a construction erosion control plan and stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP), prepared per municipal and NYSDEC standards and regulations. Implementation of the SWPPP reduces the potential impacts of erosion from the construction activity. The EAF Workbook identifies implementation of a SWPPP and controlling runoff from the new impervious surfaces are projects that will likely have a small impact.
- g. The project is not located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.

2. <u>Impact on Geological Features:</u>

The project site does not contain any unique geologic features or National Natural Landmarks as defined within the EAF Workbook. As such, the answer to this question is "no" and thus there is no impact.

3. Impact on Surface Water:

There are no regulated wetlands or other surface water bodies currently on site, thus there is no impact as no construction activity can affect any regulated water bodies. As such, the answer to this question is "no".

The project will create one new stormwater management pond with the improvements, designed in accordance with the NYSDEC requirements. This stormwater facility will be a component of the projects SWPPP and contribute to the necessary water quality treatment for the development.

More specifically, a storm sewer system will be provided and will discharge to a new stormwater management system in order to reduce the peak runoff rate from the site. The Project includes stormwater management practices to meet the NYSDEC's requirements for Green Infrastructure and Runoff Reduction Volume (RRv). The Project will meet the stormwater quality control requirements based on Section 4.3 of the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual, 2015 for Water Quality Volume (WQv). It will also meet the stormwater quantity requirements based on Sections 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 of the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual, 2015 criteria for Channel Protection Volume (Cpv), Overbank Flood (Qf), and Extreme Storm (Qr) conditions. During the design phase, a detailed Stormwater Polluntion Prevention Plan will be prepared to demonstrate compliance with the NYSDEC and Town of Henrietta regulations.

No water intake facility is proposed with this project. Water supply will be provided via the public water system of MCWA to be extended through the proposed development. Thus, there is no impact. The project does not propose the use or application of pesticides or herbicides for the construction activities. The project also does not propose the use of phosphorus containing fertilizers. The proposed action does not include the construction of new, or require the expansion of existing wastewater facilities. All wastewater will be collected with a proposed sanitary sewer system which will connect to the existing Town of Henrietta system and be treated within the Monroe County Pure Waters system. Capacity exists within the existing system for the projected project wastewater flow.

4. Impact on Groundwater:

- a. No impact as the project will be served by the MCWA public water supply system.
- b. No impact as the proposed water supply demand of 9,790 gpd can be provided by MCWA. Adequate supply and pressure are available in the public mains along Kenneth Drive and Lehigh Station Road.
- c. No impact as the proposed action is a commercial use with water available to the site.
- d. No impact as the proposed action will not discharge wastewater to the ground or groundwater. The project will be served by public sewer in conformance with the Monroe County Sewer Use Law.

- e. No impact as the project does not propose construction of water supply wells. The project will be served by public water.
- f. No impact as the project, a commercial hotel, will not involve the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over an aquifer, and the area is served by public water.
- g. No impact as the project site is not located within 100' of a potable water or irrigation source. The project will be served by public water. Specifically, the project will be served by a private water main to be extended from the public water main on the west side of Kenneth Drive to the proposed building, where the public water will be protected from backflow conditions by a water meter and RPZ device maintained by the Project.

5. Impact on Flooding:

The proposed action will not result in development on lands subject to flooding and thus the answer to this question is "no".

The project does not propose development within a floodway; the project site does not contain a floodway. The project does not propose development within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain; the project site does not contain a designated 100-year or 500-year floodplain. The project site does not contain nor will contain a dam.

Storm water runoff for the post-development condition will generally maintain the current site drainage pattern, which is to discharge runoff to the eastern property line. The proposed pond located within the property will be utilized as part of a comprehensive stormwater management design with engineered outlet structures to control detention time and discharge rates.

6. Impact on Air:

The proposed project will not include a NYS regulated air emission source as defined by Article 19 of ECL (Environmental Conservation Law) and thus the answer to this question is "no".

7. Impact on Plants and Animals:

The proposed project does not contain habitat for endangered or threatened species, flora or fauna as identified through the NYSDEC EAF mapper. The project site contains no vegetation of value as its primary make up is brush lands. The vacant parcel is located within the previously developed Calkins Road Professional Business Park and adjacent to the west of the I-390 highway. Thus, the action would not result in a loss flora or fauna.

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources:

The proposed project will have no impact on agricultural resources as the project site currently is not an agricultural use, thus the answer to this question is "no". Also, there are no surrounding lands that are in agricultural use as the development is located within a vacant parcel in the Calkins Road Professional Business Park, thus development of this site will not cause fragmentation of or prohibit use of adjoining agricultural uses, as none currently exist. The Town of Henrietta Agricultural & Farmland Protection Plan does not identify the property as farmland nor is it considered a Priority Farmland Area for protection. The lands surrounding the property have all been developed.

9. <u>Impact on Aesthetic Resources:</u>

The proposed project will have no impact on aesthetic resources, and thus the answer is "no". The project site is not located within five miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. The proposed use is a hotel which is consistent with the current zoning in that the use is allowed within the zoning district with a Special Use Permit granted by the Town. The magnitude of the hotel development is also consistent with the nearby commercial, office and industrial uses, and is located within a heavily developed and traveled area of the Town.

Moreover, the Project proposes appropriate landscaping, details of which will be further reviewed by the Planning Board. The landscaping will include foundation plantings, shade trees, evergreen screening and designated landscape bed areas. Shade trees will complement open space areas and provide a reduction in the "heat island effect" along the parking and road areas. All of the plants and materials proposed are native and will not have a detrimental impact to the environment.

Finally, the proposed building is four stories in height, with a maximum of up to 52 ft. The height of the building is appropriate for the parcel, its location and use proposed. The height is required to accommodate the number or rooms within a smaller building footprint. The proposed height is consistent with the commercial and industrial buildings located within the immediate area, as the site is situated on Kenneth Drive and near Lehigh Station Road, which is characterized by other related uses, and light industrial uses. The height will not adversely impact any neighboring property, as it is self-contained and set away from any adjacent building.

10. Impacts on Archeological Resources:

- a. Per the EAF and SHPO mapping, the project site does not contain or is contiguous to known buildings, archeological sites, or districts which are listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that have been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.
- b. The project site is located within an archaeologically sensitive area per the NYS Department of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) online mapper and thus the answer to the question was "yes". The project site is located directly north of the newly construction Taco Bell site along Kenneth Drive. According to CRIS, the site was included within the 6.66 acre consultation project as part of the Taco Bell project review. Given that the proposed hotel site was included in this review, we will coordinate with the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to obtain the necessary "No Effect" letter that was issued for the 6.6 acre study area, which included the proposed hotel site.
- c. The proposed action does not occur within or contiguous to any known archaeological sites not included on the NY SHPO inventory. The project site is surrounded by developed lands and highway networks.
- d. No response required.
- e. No response required.

11. <u>Impact on Open Space and Recreation:</u>

The project does not result in the loss of a designated open space resource or recreational opportunity as identified by any adopted plan, study, or code of the municipality and thus the answer to the question is "no". The applicant intends to develop a hotel with a fitness center within the hotel as well as amenities outdoors onsite such as a sidewalk network surrounding the hotel and connection to the Kenneth Drive sidewalk system, as well as a designated outdoor area in the southeastern corner of the parcel for guests to utilize for recreation.

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Area:

The project site does not lay within nor adjoins a Critical Environmental Area as designated by NYSDEC or other government entity and thus the answer to the question is "no".

13. <u>Impact on Transportation:</u>

The projected vehicle trips generated from the proposed hotel development were provided to the Town of Henrietta for review in a memo dated May 20, 2022. Less than 65 total trips to the site during both the PM peak hour and the AM peak hour are estimated, which are relatively low peak hour volumes. The AM peak hours for the hotel are 6 AM to 8 AM and the PM peak hours are 6 PM to 8 PM, historically when guests tend to check in and check out of the hotel. The EAF Workbook states that there is an assumption that projects generating fewer than 100 peak hour vehicle trips per hour, which this project does, will not result in any significant increase in traffic. Given the low peak hour volumes and the spread of the peak hour trips over a larger time frame, the proposed development would not provide a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate demand for new transportation services/facilities and thus the answer to the question is "no".

The Town's Traffic Consultant Engineer reviewed the projected peak hour trips and provided agreement in that the trip generation numbers for the peak time frames were low and that a traffic study is not warranted. Correspondence from the traffic consultant provided to the lead agency stated the number of trips generated is lower than what would require a traffic impact analysis / study and they did not see the development justifying any mitigating measures to the area transportation network. They further stated the I-390 / Lehigh Station Road intersection is acknowledged to be congested, but the State DOT has not required any other recent developments in the area that were adding more traffic to address any congestion issues at the interchange and the same would be for this project.

NYSDOT in their review of the application per the Monroe County Planning 239-m referral did not require any further traffic study or analysis.

This project is not adjacent to and does not impact roadways under jurisdiction of the Monroe County Department of Transportation (MCDOT), and thus the Town and NYSDOT are the reviewing entities.

Parking of vehicles will be on site and per Town code requirements which is 1 space per room plus 1 space per 2 employees which equates to 94 parking spaces. The site plan will be laid out for proper circulation for vehicles, emergency vehicles, and pedestrians. The proposed development does not include construction of any paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles. As the current parcel is vacant, the proposed development will not alter the present pattern of movement of people

or goods, but rather enhance it. The proposed development will also not degrade existing transit access.

14. Impact on Energy:

- a. The proposed project is a hotel development in an already developed business park serviced by available public infrastructure to be extended through the development, thus there is no impact.
- b. There is not likely to be any impacts on energy as the proposed hotel will likely not require new, upgraded, creation, or extension of substations, or energy supply systems. The project site is located within an existing Business Park and thus the overall development has been reviewed for a full buildout of the Business Park within the existing power supply infrastructure.
- c. The project demand will not exceed 2,500 MW hours per year, thus there is no impact.
- d. The proposed hotel has a $\pm 13,500$ sf footprint with 4-stories and thus below the 100,000 SF threshold of this question, thus there is no potential impact.

15. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light:

- a. The potential impact from noise is small as defined by the EAF Workbook as it will be temporary and short duration as it will only occur during construction. The hotel use will not be a noise generator above ambient or regulated levels. The project's noise levels will also not be greater than the ambient noise level of the adjacent I-390 highway immediately to the east of the project site.
- b. No blasting is proposed for any part of construction, thus there is no impact.
- c. The proposed use is for a hotel and does not propose any commercial or manufacturing processes that would generate unregulated odors. Thus, there is no impact.
- d. All site lighting will be dark sky compliant LED fixtures installed per Town Code. No light spill will go beyond the property lines which will be indicated on the Lighting Plan for the development. Any proposed illuminated signs will be per Town Code. Moreover, given the distance from and nature of surrounding commercial and industrial uses, the lighting will have no adverse impact to any neighbor. Thus, there is no impact.
- e. The lighting will be dark sky compliant LED fixtures, thus there is no impact.

16. Impact on Human Health:

The project will have no impact on human health as the project will not result in potential impacts from exposure to hazardous substances and contaminants. Sites that have been identified by NYSDEC are not on site or within 2000' of the project site. In addition, the proposed project will not generate any chemicals or contaminants that will threaten human health. All materials used or stored on site will be governed by the NYS Building and Fire Code and NYSDEC. All necessary permits and procedures will be implemented as required by the NYSDEC and the Town Fire Marshal.

17. Consistency with Community Plans:

The proposed project is consistent with the Town's Zoning ordinance and the Town's Comprehensive Plan. The hotel use is an allowed use within the Industrial Zoning district per a Special Use Permit from the Town Board. The project is located within a highly developed area with existing public infrastructure and is not in contrast to the current surrounding office buildings, commercial buildings, industrial and highway networks. The development will be prepared in conformance with the Zoning code, and no variances are anticipated. Thus, there is no impact to Community Plans.

18. Consistency with Community Character:

The proposed project is consistent with the community character within this portion of the Town of Henrietta. The proposed development is along Kenneth Drive in the Calkins Road Professional Business Park. A newly constructed Taco Bell is immediately south of the proposed project including a vacant commercial pad, and 280 Kenneth Drive is to the immediate north which is a 2-story, $\pm 50,000$ sf office building and associated 250 space parking lot. To the east is I-390 and the off-ramp, and immediately to the west is Kenneth Drive with an existing gas station and convenience store. The proposed development will not replace or eliminate any existing facilities important to the community or create a demand for additional community services. The proposed hotel will be an asset to the community and those traveling to the community. The construction of the hotel and site improvements is not out of character for this area of the Town. Thus, the proposed use is consistent and should not have an impact on community character.