AS ACTED UPON DURING A DULY NOTICED OPEN MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HENRIETTA, COUNTY OF MONROE, STATE OF NEW YORK, HELD AT THE HENRIETTA TOWN HALL AT 475 CALKINS ROAD, HENRIETTA, NEW YORK ON AUGUST 30, 2023 AT 6:00 P.M.

RESOLUTION #17-285/2023

To issue a SEQR Determination and a Negative Declaration for a proposed multifamily development by A.R. Building Company at or about 2160 and 2132 East Henrietta Road.

On Motion of Councilmember Page

Seconded by Supervisor Schultz

WHEREAS, A.R. Building Company (the "Applicant") has applied for Special Use Permit No. 2022-051 pursuant to the Henrietta Town Code at Section 295-16[A](10) for the construction of two four story apartment buildings, club house, and associated site improvements, comprising of 101 +/- units on parcels comprised of 8.46 +/- acres, with a proposed density of 11.94 units per acre (the "Project") on property at or about 2160 and 2132 East Henrietta Road, Tax Map Nos. 162.18-2-4.1 and 162.18-2-2 (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, public hearings relative to the Project were held on January 18, 2023, February 8, 2023, May 10, 2023, August 9, 2023, and August 30, 2023 at public meetings at Town Hall, at which time feedback and concerns from neighbors, residents, and Town Board members were heard and considered; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has carefully considered all relevant documentary, testimonial, and other evidence submitted, including but not limited to the Special Use Permit application, and other materials and information submitted by the Applicant, correspondence and oral testimony from the public, State, County, and local agencies, and other information; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has completed Parts 2 and 3 of the EAF, which are attached hereto, and has carefully considered the information contained therein.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Henrietta Town Board hereby approves the attached Part 2 of said EAF.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby finds that the proposal will not have any significant adverse environmental impacts, as more fully set forth herein, in the record, and in Part 3 of the EAF, including in the attachment thereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby approves Part 3 of the EAF, confirming its findings in Part 2 of the EAF, and setting forth its basis and reasoning for finding that there are no potential significant adverse environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with its adoption of Part 3 of the EAF, the Town Board hereby issues a Negative Declaration with regard to the action, finding that the Project will not have any significant adverse environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby directs that the Negative Declaration be filed in accordance with SEQR Regulations, that the Supervisor is authorized to execute such necessary documents and to take such other actions as will facilitate an orderly and proper SEQR process.

Duly put to a vote:

Councilmember Sefranek Aye
Councilmember Bolzner Aye
Councilmember Page Aye
Councilmember Bellanca Absent
Supervisor Schultz Aye
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project: Henrietta Apartment Buildings		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
2160 E Henrietta Road, Rochester, NY 14623		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):		
Applicant is proposing the construction of two (2) four (4) story apartment buildings will limited to public utilities, private roadways and stormwater management facilities.	th a clubhouse, and associated s	ite improvements including but not
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone:	
A.R. Building Company	E-Mail: jeremy@arbu	ilding.com
Address: 310 Seven Fields Blvd, Suite 350		
City/PO: Seven Fields	State: PA	Zip Code: 16046
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:	
Jeremy Starr	E-Mail: jeremy@arbui	lding.com
Address: 310 Seven Fields Blvd, Suite 350	<u>, </u>	
	Ct-t-	7' C1
City/PO: Seven Fields	State:	Zip Code: 16046
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	10040
Troperty Owner (II not same as sponsor).		
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)			
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or	
a. City Counsel, Town Board, ✓ Yes□No or Village Board of Trustees	Town of Henreitta	03/01/2023	
b. City, Town or Village ✓Yes□No Planning Board or Commission	Town of Henreitta	12/14/2022	
c. City, Town or ✓Yes□No Village Zoning Board of Appeals	Town of Henrietta	03/01/2023	
d. Other local agencies ☐Yes ✓No			
e. County agencies ☐Yes ✓No			
f. Regional agencies □Yes☑No			
g. State agencies ✓Yes□No	NYSDOT	09/20/2022	
h. Federal agencies □Yes ☑ No			
i. Coastal Resources.i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway?			
ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program?iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area?			□ Yes ☑ No □ Yes ☑ No
C. Planning and Zoning			
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.			
only approval(s) which must be granted to er • If Yes, complete sections C, F and C		•	□Yes ☑ No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.			
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, where the proposed action would be locate	village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site	✓Yes□No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?			∠ Yes□No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s): NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor			∠ Yes□No
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or pa or an adopted municipal farmland protect If Yes, identify the plan(s):	artially within an area listed in an adopted municion plan?	ipal open space plan,	□Yes ☑ No

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? B-2 Commercial within the Mixed-Use Redevelopment Corridor Overlay District	✓ Yes No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	∠ Yes No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	□ Yes ☑ No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Rush-Henrietta Central School District	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? Monroe County Sherrif Department, NY State Troopers - Henrietta	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? Henrietta Fire District	
d. What parks serve the project site? Andrews, Park, Belmanor Park, Breese Park, Henrietta Dog Park at Lookup Park, Hoskins Park, Lookup Park, Martin Road Park, T	inker Nature Park and
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)? Commercial in the form of Residential Apartments	, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? 8.46 acres 4.37 acres	
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 8.46 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, square feet)? % Units:	☐ Yes No housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□Yes Z No
If Yes, <i>i.</i> Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed?	□Yes ☑ No
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: ii. If Yes: • Total number of phases anticipated • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) • Anticipated completion date of final phase month year	□ Yes Z No
Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progre determine timing or duration of future phases:	

	ct include new resid				✓ Yes□No
If Yes, show nun	nbers of units propo		7F1 F '1	Maria E. H. (C.)	
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase				101	
At completion					
of all phases				101	
g. Does the propo	osed action include:	new non-residentia	al construction (inclu	ading expansions)?	Z Yes□No
If Yes,					
i. Total number	of structures	1_	.=		
<i>ii.</i> Dimensions (in feet) of largest p	roposed structure:	15 height;	31 width; and 73 length	
				3075 square feet	
				l result in the impoundment of any	☐Yes Z No
•	s creation of a wate	r supply, reservoir	, pond, lake, waste l	agoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	a impoundment:				
i. If a water imp	oundment the princ	cinal source of the	water [☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water strea	ms DOther specify
II w w.ep	ounanions, une princ	orpur source or une			Gomer speem,
iii. If other than v	water, identify the ty	pe of impounded/	contained liquids an	d their source.	_
iv. Approximate	size of the proposed	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons: surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions of	of the proposed dam	or impounding str	ructure:	million gallons; surface area:height;length	
vi. Construction	method/materials f	or the proposed da	m or impounding st	ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con	crete):
D.2. Project Op	varations				
		-)
				uring construction, operations, or both? or foundations where all excavated	′ ∐Yes ⊬ No
materials will i		mon, grading or in	istanation of utilities	or foundations where an excavated	
If Yes:	cinam onsite)				
	irpose of the excava	tion or dredging?			
ii. How much ma	iterial (including roo	ck, earth, sediment	s, etc.) is proposed t	o be removed from the site?	
 Over wł 	nat duration of time	?			
iii. Describe natu	re and characteristic	es of materials to b	e excavated or dred	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	e of them.
	onsite dewatering	or processing of ex	cavated materials?		☐Yes ✓ No
If yes, descri	be				
w What is the to	otal area to be dredg	ad ar ayaayatad?		garas	
	naximum area to be			acres acres	
				feet	
	avation require blast				☐Yes ✓ No
				crease in size of, or encroachment	✓ Yes No
•	ing wetland, waterb	oay, snoreline, bea	ich or adjacent area?		
If Yes:	vetland or waterbod	y which would be	affected (by name)	water index number, wetland map numb	per or geographic
				eated wetland that has been determined by	
	not near or in mapped	NYS Freshwater We	tlands or a regulated 1	00-foot adjacent area.	

<i>ii.</i> Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:			
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?If Yes, describe:	∐Yes ∕ No		
<i>iv.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	☐ Yes ☑ No		
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:			
• avacated agreege of aquatic vaccatation remaining after project completion:			
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):			
proposed method of plant removal:			
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):			
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:			
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	Z Yes □No		
f Yes:			
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: 11,220 gallons/day			
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? f Yes:	∠ Yes □ No		
Name of district or service area:			
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	✓ Yes No		
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes No		
 Is expansion of the district needed? 	☐ Yes 🗹 No		
 Do existing lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes No		
<i>ii.</i> Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? EYes:	□Yes ☑ No		
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:			
• Source(s) of supply for the district:			
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? f, Yes:	☐ Yes Z No		
Applicant/sponsor for new district: Determine the production and production			
Date application submitted or anticipated: Drange and source(s) of surply for new district:			
 Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: 			
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.		
I. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	✓ Yes □No		
f Yes:			
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day:11,220 gallons/day			
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe a	ll components and		
approximate volumes or proportions of each):			
ii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?	∠ Yes □No		
If Yes:			
 Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Name of district: 			
• Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	Z Yes □No		
• Is the project site in the existing district?	✓ Yes □No		
Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes Z No		

 Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? 	✓ Yes □ No
 Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? 	□Yes ☑ No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□Yes Z No
If Yes:	
 Applicant/sponsor for new district: 	
 Applicant/sponsor for new district: Date application submitted or anticipated: 	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec	ifying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
ui Describe any plans or designs to conture recycle or rouge liquid wester	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	Z Yes □No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	
source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or _ 2.30 acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or 8.46 acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources. Surface Runoff	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent programme to the stormwater management facility (i.e. on-site stormwater manageme	
	roperues,
groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? On-Site Stormwater Management Facility	
on one otomwater management racinty	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
- It to startace waters, identify receiving water bodies of wettailed.	
Will at a manufacture of Classic Association and the continuous co	DV: DN:
• Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? <i>iv.</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	□Yes ☑ No ☑ Yes□No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	□Yes Z No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify: i Mobile sources during project energtions (e.g., heavy, equipment, fleet or delivery yehioles)	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes Z No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	□Yes ☑ No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
<i>ii.</i> In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydronourocarbons (III es)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes: i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):	to, sewage treatment plants,	Yes ☑ No
ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in pelectricity, flaring):	project design (e.g., combustion to gener	ate heat or
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air of quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock p	<u>-</u>	Yes ☑ No
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above preser new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): ☐ Randomly between hours of	✓ Evening ✓ Weekend]Yes∏No
 iii. Parking spaces: Existing 0 Proposed 153 iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ n vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommod or other alternative fueled vehicles? viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommod pedestrian or bicycle routes? 	of new roads or change in existing accomile of the proposed site?	Yes No ess, describe: Yes No Yes No Yes No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercia) or industrial projects only) generate for energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: Approximately 918, kWh per year ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site comb other): 		
Grid / Local Utility from Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation	ion?]Yes ☑ No
Saturday:Sunday:Sunday:	rations: yy - Friday:ay:y; y;ys:	

If :	Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? yes: Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	✓ Yes □No
Equ	pment associated with standard construction during the Town of Henrietta approved hours of operation	
ii.	Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe: A portion of the existing vegetation will be removed during construction	Z Yes □No
n	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	✓ Yes □No
If i.	yes: Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	V 1es No
Park	king and building lighting is proposed and will be designed to minimize the footprint of light emissions	
ii.	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe: A portion of the existing vegetation will be removed during construction	✓ Yes □No
0.	Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	☐ Yes Z No
If i.	Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? Yes: Product(s) to be stored	☐ Yes Z No
	Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year) Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
If	Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s):	Yes No
i	i. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☑ No
(Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? Yes:	☐ Yes Z No
i	. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
	 Construction: tons per (unit of time) Operation: tons per (unit of time) Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: 	
ii	 Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: Construction: 	:
	• Operation:	
iii.	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction:	
	• Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modi	fication of a solid waste ma	anagement facility?	∐ Yes 🖊 No	
If Yes: i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or				
other disposal activities):				
<i>ii.</i> Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:				
• Tons/month, if transfer or other non-c	combustion/thermal treatme	ent, or		
• Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal t	reatment			
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	years			
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commer	cial generation, treatment,	storage, or disposal of hazard	ous □Yes ✓ No	
waste?				
If Yes:		and at facility.		
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	generated, nandled or man	aged at facility:		
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving h	azardous wastes or constitu	ients:		
-				
Charify amount to be handled an concepted to	ana/manth			
<i>iii</i> . Specify amount to be handled or generated to <i>iv</i> . Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ons/monui veling or reuse of hazardou	s constituents:		
w. Describe any proposals for on site imminization, rec	yening of rease of hazardou	S constituents.		
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing			☐Yes ☑ No	
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:				
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous v	wastes which will not be se	nt to a hazardous waste facilit	v.	
in the describe proposed management of any nazardeds	wastes which will not be se	in to a nazaraous waste racin	., j ·	
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action				
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site				
a. Existing land uses.				
<i>i</i> . Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the	project site.			
☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☑ Commercial ☑ Resid ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☑ Other	ential (suburban)	ral (non-farm)		
☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:	(specify): Subject property is	s currently vacant		
u. If this of uses, generally describe.				
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.				
Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change	
Covertype	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)	
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious		1	,	
surfaces	0.27	2.30	+2.30	
Forested	6.29	3.53	-2.76	
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	1.88	2.61	+0.73	
agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	1.00	2.01	+0.73	
Agricultural	0	0	0	
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)				
Surface water features	0	0	0	
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)				
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0.02	0.02	0	
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)				
• Other				
Describe:				

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain:	□Yes☑No
 d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities: 	∐Yes ⊮ No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	□Yes☑No
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility Yes:	☐Yes ☑ No lity?
<i>i.</i> Has the facility been formally closed?	□Yes ☑ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
<i>ii.</i> Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
in Describe the foodforf of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility.	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□ Yes ☑ No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr	ed:
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	☐Yes ☑ No
If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□Yes□No
Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
<i>iii.</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): 828152	✓ Yes□No
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	
Remediation at the site is complete, prior to remediation the primary contaminants of concern were cis-Dichlorethene, Trichlorethan	e, tetrachlorethene
and Vinyl Chloride in soil and groundwater. The site is subject to ongoing monitoring pursuant to Site Manage Plan.	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control			□Yes☑No
If yes, DEC site ID number:			
Describe the type of institutional control (e.g. Describe any use limitations:	, deed restriction or easement):		
Describe any use limitations:Describe any engineering controls:			
Will the project affect the institutional or eng	ineering controls in place?		☐ Yes Z No
• Explain:			
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site			
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project	site? >7	feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?			☐ Yes Z No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedi	ock outcroppings?	%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	Hilton Loam	65_%	
	Ontario Loam	<u>25</u> %	
		10_%	
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the p	roject site? Average: NA fee	t	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained			
✓ Moderately V			
☐ Poorly Drain			
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with		90 % of site	
	✓ 10-15%:☐ 15% or greater:	<u>10</u> % of site % of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project			☐ Yes Z No
If Yes, describe:			I CSW INO
h. Surface water features.			
<i>i.</i> Does any portion of the project site contain wetland	s or other waterbodies (including stre	ams, rivers,	□Yes ☑ No
ponds or lakes)?	· · · · ·		
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the pr	oject site?		□Yes☑No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.	1	C 1 1	□vz □vz
<i>iii.</i> Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or a state or local agency?	djoining the project site regulated by a	any federal,	□Yes ✓ No
<i>iv.</i> For each identified regulated wetland and waterboo	ly on the project site, provide the follo	owing information:	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	C	- C	
• Lakes or Ponds: Name	C	Classification	
• Wetlands: Name	A	Approximate Size	
• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)	recent compilation of NVS water au	ality_imnaired	□Yes Z No
waterbodies?	recent compliation of TVIB water que	anty impaned	
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis f	or listing as impaired:		
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?			□Yes Z No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?			□Yes Z No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?			□Yes Z No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoint If Yes:	ning, a primary, principal or sole source	ce aquifer?	□Yes Z No
<i>i.</i> Name of aquifer:			
-			

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site	e:	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? If Yes:		☐ Yes Z No
i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation)	gnation):	
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:		
iii. Extent of community/habitat:		
• Currently:	acres	
 Following completion of project as proposed: Gain or loss (indicate + or -): 		
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for		☐ Yes ☑ No
If Yes:	or an endangered of uneatened specie	221
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by	NIVS as rare, or as a species of	☐Yes ✓ No
special concern?	IN 1.5 as rare, or as a species or	1 es [4]110
If Yes:		
i. Species and listing:		
	. 1 11 6 1 . 0	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fish If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:		□Yes ⁄ No
if yes, give a orier description of now the proposed action may affect that use.		
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural di Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?	strict certified pursuant to	□Yes Z No
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:		
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?		∐Yes ∠ No
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):		
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous t	o, a registered National	□Yes Z No
Natural Landmark?	o, a registered realisman	1 65 6 1 10
If Yes:	_	
	Geological Feature	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation	n and approximate size/extent:	
		-
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environm	ental Area?	☐Yes Z No
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environm If Yes:	icital Alca:	1 cs[6]140
i. CEA name:		
ii. Basis for designation:		
iii. Designating agency and date:		

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a but which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, of Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for If Yes:	r that has been determined by the Commission or listing on the State Register of Historic Plants	
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐Archaeological Site ii. Name:	☐Historic Building or District	
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:		
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an are archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SF		✓ Yes □No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been in If Yes:i. Describe possible resource(s):		□Yes Z No
ii. Basis for identification:		
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes:	publicly accessible federal, state, or local	∐Yes Z No
i. Identify resource:ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overletc.):		scenic byway,
etc.):	niles.	
Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes:		∏Yes No
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in	6NYCRR Part 666?	☐Yes Z No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify you If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	•	pacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowled	edge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name Jeremy Starr	Date_08/01/2023	
Signature	Title Associate	



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	No
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas:West Erie Canal Corridor
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	828152
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No

E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project: 2160 East Henrietta Road Apartments
Date: 08/30/2023

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	Ø	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli		
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	it Z NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□nc		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	Ø	
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	Ø	
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	Ø	
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	Ø	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d	abla	

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	√ NO)	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
			•
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	✓ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
		_	
	1	l	l
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	✓NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. 1 If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	□NO	✓ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	Ø	
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	Ø	
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	Ø	

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	Ø	
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n	Ø	
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	Ø	
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b	Ø	
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	Ø	
j. Other impacts:			
	1		
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	and b.)	✓NO	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.		small impact	to large impact may
	Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Question(s) E2c, E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, E1b E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland 	Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and	✓NO) [YES
a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	Dla, Ela, Dlf, Dlg		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	√ No) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
 The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. 	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	√ N0		YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact	to large impact may
		may occur	occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ NO	D _	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) If "Vas" appropriate of the "No" go to Section 14	s. No	O [7]	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	Ø	
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	Ø	
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	Ø	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	Ø	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	Ø	
f. Other impacts:			
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	□N0	O 🗸	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	Ø	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	Ø	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	Ø	
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	Ø	
e. Other Impacts:			
		J.	Į.
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC) \[YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	Ø	
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	\square	

d. The proposed action may result in light siming onto adjoining properties.	DZII	¥	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:			
16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i>	nd h.)	D	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g		
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			
	1		

17. Consistency with Community Plans					
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.	NO	√ /	YES		
(See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)					
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.					
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur		
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	✓ ✓			
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	Ø			
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	Ø			
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2				
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb	Ø			
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j				
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	Ø			
h. Other:					
	<u> </u>				
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	ed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. NO VYES C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur		
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g				
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4				
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a				
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	Ø			
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	Ø			
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	Ø			
g. Other impacts:					

Agency Use Only [IfApplicable]

Project : Henrietta Apartment Buildings

Date: 8/30/2023

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: Part 1

Attach addıtı	onal sheets, as needed.			
Please refer to attached P Henrietta Apartment Buildi	Part 3 Reasoned Elaboration lings project at 2160 East He	relating to the determination of no significant adverse impa enrietta Road in the Town of Henrietta, NY (Monroe County	ct for the Proposal known as the).	
Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions				
SEQR Status:	Type 1	✓ Unlisted		

✓ Part 3

Part 2

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information			
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the Henrietta Town Board as lead agency that:			
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.			
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:			
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).			
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.			
Name of Action: Henrietta Apartment Buildings located at 2160 East Henrietta Road			
Name of Lead Agency: Henrietta Town Board			
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Stephen L. Schultz			
Title of Responsible Officer: Town Supervisor			
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date: 8/30/2013			
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) Churtyler & Mouth Date: August 30, 2023			
For Further Information:			
Contact Person: Christopher E. Martin, P.E.			
Address: P.O. Box 999, 475 Calkins Road, Henrietta, NY 14467			
Telephone Number: (585) 359-7070			
E-mail: cmartin@henrietta.org			
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:			
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html			

EAF Part 3 Narrative for the Henrietta Apartment Buildings Proposed Development 2160 East Henrietta Road

August 30, 2023

In addition to the narrative below, this EAF Part 3 narrative hereby incorporates the following, which is made a part of this Part 3 reasoned elaboration, and which therefore is a part of the basis for the SEQR determination:

- a. <u>Special Use Permit Application SUP 2022-051 for 2160 East Henrietta Road Apartments</u>, prepared by A. R. Building Company dated December 14, 2022 and revised and resubmitted July, 2023;
- b. <u>SUP Response Letter from Brad Simmons of Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc. to Stephen L. Schultz</u>, dated February 3, 2023;
- c. <u>Proposed Multi-Family Residential Development Conceptual Site Plan</u>, prepared by Civil & Environmental Consultants of New York State, Inc., dated December, 2022 and revised May 9, 2023;
- Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form, completed by A.R. Building Company, dated December 14, 2022, revised February 3, 2023 and final revised July 21, 2023;
- e. NYS Department of Environmental Conservation Letter from Robert B. Call to Brad Simmons of Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc., dated November 13, 2022;
- f. <u>SEQR Lead Agency Request Letter from Christopher E. Martin, PE of the Town of Henrietta for the 2160 East Henrietta Apartments project,</u> dated December 29, 2022;
- g. NYS Department of Transportation Email from Zachary J. Starke, PE to Chris Droznek of A.R. Building Company, dated October 28, 2022;
- h. NYS Department of Transportation Letter from Christopher Reeve, PE to Christopher E. Martin, PE of the Town of Henrietta, dated January 19, 2023;
- i. <u>Town of Henrietta Letter from Supervisor Stephen L. Schultz to A.R. Building</u> Company, dated January 20, 2023;
- j. <u>Monroe County Letter from Adam J. Bello to Town of Henrietta Supervisor Stephen L. Schultz,</u> dated January 27, 2023;
- k. Sanitary Sewer Televising Logs for the Rodlea Circle Sanitary Sewer completed by the Town of Henrietta Sewer Department on February 15, 2023;
- I. <u>SUP Response Letter from Brad Simmons of Civil & Environmental Consultants,</u> Inc. to Stephen L. Schultz, dated April 28, 2023;
- m. <u>SUP Response Letter from Brad Simmons of Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc. to Stephen L. Schultz</u>, dated May 5, 2023 and revised and resubmitted July 24, 2023, including all Exhibits thereto;

- n. Exhibit A to the Town Board approval Special Use Permit Resolution for the proposal.
- o. Summary of SEQR/SUP Considerations Identified from Public Hearings/Meetings

1. Impact on Land

No material impacts, as per the following:

- 1b. A portion of the multi-family development will be constructed on slopes greater than fifteen percent. In these areas, the slopes will be stabilized as soon as possible with jute mesh and other erosion control devices as indicated in the erosion and sediment control plan in order to prevent erosion.
- 1d. The project will result in the excavation of topsoil which may exceed 1,000 tons. The placement of the topsoil will be handled via a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The stockpiles are temporary and will be stabilized to avoid potential dust and erosion.
- 1e. The construction of the 2160 East Henrietta Road Apartment project will take approximately one year. Construction activities will be phased so that land disturbance and site impact is minimized.
- 1f. While some vegetation will be removed from the development area, a vegetative buffer of at least fifty feet in depth will be preserved and improved along the existing residential neighborhoods. The existing treed transitional buffer will be protected by orange construction fence to prevent it from being removed or disturbed during construction. Also, a SWPPP will be in place to ensure erosion does not occur. The site will be inspected once or twice per week throughout construction to monitor and ensure the implementation of the SWPPP. Finally, no work is scheduled to be performed within the 0.02 acre protected federal wetland unless the applicant obtains a permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

Moreover, see Section 7, herein, for further analysis which is also applicable to impacts on land.

2. Impact on Geological Features

No impact; there are no material geological features on or adjacent to the site.

3. Impacts on Surface Water

No material impacts, as per the following:

- 3a. As part of this project, a new stormwater management facility will be constructed in conformance with New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) requirements.
- 3d. The action has the potential to create turbidity and sediment in the adjacent water bodies if the proper erosion control devices are not implemented or properly

maintained. However, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be used to protect surface water. Additionally, the SWPPP shall use sedimentation basins, stone check dams, stabilized construction entrances, silt fence, and other erosion control devices to control site erosion. Also, site inspections will be performed two times a week if more than five acres of land is disturbed or weekly until the site is stabilized to ensure that erosion is not leaving the site.

- 3e. See paragraph 3d.
- 3h. See paragraph 3d.
- 3i. See paragraph 3d.

In addition, the existing site appears to cause shallow sheet flow / uncontrolled drainage that generally runs from East Henrietta Rd. to Lalanne Rd. The stormwater management system to be installed as part of the proposed project is expected to reduce the sheet flow / uncontrolled drainage to adjacent properties, perhaps by as much as 70%.

4. Impact on Groundwater

No impact.

5. Impact on Flooding

No impact; the project is not located within a floodplain.

6. Impacts on Air

No impact.

7. Impact on Plants and Animals

No material impact.

Pursuant to the DEC Mapper, there are no endangered species or rare plants or animals located within the project area. Also, the transitional buffer on the site will allow animals to access other undisturbed land in the area.

Moreover, the proposal seeks to minimize any potential impacts to plants and wildlife by:

- Focusing development to open areas on the stie and minimizing impacts to the denser wooded areas,
- Minimizing the development footprint by incorporating vertical vs. horizontal development,
- Incorporating a reduced parking area, reducing paved parking by approximately 15,000 SF (but a variance or land banked parking will be required), and
- Maintaining more than 2.5 contiguous acres of densely wooded area, which comprises more than half of the subject property's total area.

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources

No impact; the project is not located within an agricultural district.

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources

No material impact: the land is zoned for multi-family developments with the issuance of a special use permit from the Town Board. See also 17 and 18 herein.

10.Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources

No impact; the project is not located within an archaeological sensitive area.

11.Impact on Open Space and Recreation

No impact. This project includes the development of internal and external sidewalks which will improve access within the site and will allow residents access to the sidewalks located along East Henrietta Road.

12.Impact on Critical Environmental Areas

No, impact; there are no CEA's on or adjacent to the site.

13.Impact on Transportation

No significant impacts, as per the following:

The proposed 101-unit multi-family facility will result in a slight increase in traffic along the East Henrietta Road corridor. As indicated in correspondence from Zachary J. Starke, PE of the NYSDOT to Chris A. Droznek II, PE, PTP of Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc., in accord with information relating to the Project, and based upon DOT's understanding of the site and the existing highway conditions, a traffic impact study will not be required for this project.

A second gated entrance for emergency access will be provided to East Henrietta Road.

14.Impact on Energy

No material impacts, as per the following:

The project will result in the need for additional energy to service the multi-family facility. However, the project will utilize high efficiency appliances and ample capacity is present in the area.

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

Minor, non-material impact.

The site is located along a well-traveled thoroughfare in Town, East Henrietta Rd. / Route 15-A, comprising four lanes fronting the site, plus a center median, and is thus subject to material background traffic noise, as well as noise from the businesses and residences nearby. The noise generated from the proposed project after construction is not expected to materially differ in intensity from such existing background noise and is otherwise expected to be consistent therewith, including as it may relate to impacts at adjacent

properties which are also subject to existing background noise of East Henrietta Rd. / Route 15-A and nearby existing businesses and residences.

The lighting will be contained on site and not impact adjacent properties. During construction, noise will be required to be kept below the Town's local ordinance levels and time periods. Also, dark sky compliant lighting will be used for the proposed street lights in order to reduce any light shining on adjacent properties.

Car headlights will also be shielded with fencing and landscaping.

16.Impact on Human Health

No significant impacts, as per the following:

The site has no environmental conditions which could result in an impact to human health. The proposed use will not generate waste which could impact human health.

The condition and capacity of the downstream sanitary sewer was reviewed by the Town by visually inspecting the sewer with a camera and by installing a flow meter within the sewer main. Both the condition of the downstream sanitary sewer and the sewer capacity are adequate to meet the demands from this facility.

17. Consistency with Community Plans

No material impacts, for the following reasons:

The proposed use, multi-family residences, is permitted subject to obtaining special use permit from the Town Board. Given that the proposed lands are zoned B-2, there are a number of other uses permitted which would represent much less consistent uses as compared to nearby residential single-family homes, such as banks, medical centers, offices and office buildings, and commercial and retail businesses and plazas which are common in the B-2 district, for example. This proposed use presents an opportunity to create a transitional use between the residential nature of nearby single-family homes and the commercial nature of the B-2 District.

The project proposed is a multi-family residential project which is not inconsistent with nearby residential uses, as they are both of residential character.

The Town's Comprehensive Plan calls for a "range of housing opportunities and choices," specifically providing that "Henrietta needs to ensure that a broad mix of housing opportunities is available for its increasing population" These are goals which this proposal furthers.

See also, 18, below.

18. Consistency with Community Character

No material impacts, for the following reasons: See 17, above.

Moreover, while there are single family homes adjacent to the project, they are almost exclusively located within a residential subdivision that is physically distinct from the

project, as the project is located off of and accessible via East Henrietta Rd. vs. the interior roadways of the residential subdivision.

While the project is a multi-family residential project that has buildings which are larger in scale than the single-family homes of the nearby residential subdivision, only a portion of the project will be visible from the residential subdivision, as the project is designed to preserve and improve a vegetative buffer (including by replacing dead trees therein) between the residential subdivision and the project, providing material noise and visual screening. A landscaping plan was developed for the project, depicting such vegetative buffer.

The applicant was required to and did provide a visual rendering depicting that the project as planned will largely be screened from the nearby residential subdivision. In addition to the vegetative buffer, a fence, berm or similar barrier will be installed near the buffer to protect against impacts from lights of vehicles in the parking area.

The project is more fully visible from East Henrietta Road, however, its scale and character is consistent with many other buildings and plazas located along East Henrietta Road.

Moreover, the buildings have been positioned to mitigate balconies or apartment windows that would overlook adjacent residential properties, and buildings have been positioned to the west in order to crate separation distance from the residential neighborhoods.

Notably, rights to the parcel to the north were acquired in order to add more acreage to the project, and one proposed unit was eliminated, all such that the unit density is now at or under the density limitation applicable.

Given the fact that the project is residential in nature and thus, in that manner, is consistent with the residential character of the nearby residential subdivision, because it is located in a manner such that it is physically distinct from the residential subdivision, and because it incorporates a vegetative buffer and fence to mitigate noise and visual impacts, it is consistent and compatible with community character and community plans.

18b. The project will result in a small demand for community services, however, there is currently ample capacity.

Summary of SEQR / SUP Considerations Identified from Public Hearings/Meetings for 2160 East Henrietta Road Apartment Complex

1. **Drainage** – Neighbors stressed that they have a lot of drainage issues on their properties due to run-off from the uphill property.

Mitigation Offered: Collect all run-off from impervious surfaces and direct it to a stormwater detention facility that will control and reduce the rate of run-off from the property.

2. **Storm Sewer Access** – A neighbor notified the Town that the storm sewer easement on the map was never executed or filed.

Solution: A new easement was acquired for storm sewer access through an adjacent property on Rodlea Circle.

- 3. Wildlife Neighbors concerned about the wildlife that currently lives on that property. Mitigation Offered: The storm water pond was shifted west to create a strip of wooded land with a minimum width of 50' and widening out north of the pond and then connecting to a 100' wide wooded area along the northern boundary of the parcel.
- 4. **Character of Neighborhood** Neighbors concerned about whether a four-story apartment building belonged on East Henrietta Road.

Justification Presented: Architectural renderings of the apartment buildings were provided showing how the new apartments compare to other structures in the East Henrietta neighborhood.

5. **Visual Impact to Adjacent Neighborhood** – Neighbors concerned that a four-story apartment up on the hill would have a strong negative visual impact on their neighborhood.

Justification Presented: 3D renderings were provided showing that the wooded buffer along the east side of the parcel, shifted such that it is a minimum of 50' wide, provides adequate screening for the properties along Lalanne Road.

6. **Privacy** – The Town Board (at the neighborhood meeting) and neighbors concerned that the apartments would be overlooking their yards.

Mitigation Offered: The buildings were rotated such that no balconies or apartment windows overlooked adjacent residential properties and buildings were shifted west as far as possible.

7. **Unit Count and Density** – The Town Board (at the neighborhood meeting) and neighbors questioned the unit count and density, which was greater than the prescribed number in the Zoning District.

Solution: The parcel to the north was acquired to add more acreage, and one unit was eliminated, such that the unit density is now at or under the density limitation for the zoning district. The new parcel would need to either become part of this parcel or would need a conservation easement placed on it so that no further development could take place there.

8. **Traffic** – Neighbors questioned whether East Henrietta Road could handle the additional traffic. **Justification Presented**: The New York State DOT has stated that they do not perceive there to be any potential adverse impact from the additional traffic being introduced onto East Henrietta Road.

9. **Parking** – Neighbors questioned whether there were enough parking spaces.

Mitigation Offered: A variance or land-banked parking would be required. Note: this land-banked parking cannot be located in any transition buffer. This is a condition of the SUP approval.

10. **Immediate Proximity** – The neighbor immediately to the north complained that the apartments, being within 50' of his business, would lower its value and create other issues.

Mitigation Offered: The property to the north was purchased and added to the project. This now eliminates that 50' buffer but does create a 100' buffer to the residential properties on the far side of that newly acquired parcel. No roads, parking lots, or structures are located within this 100' buffer.

11. **House Market Value** – The neighbors immediately to the east complained the apartments would lower their market value.

Justification presented: Developer provided a narrative about how their other complexes affected, or did not affect, adjacent property values.

12. **Headlight Impact** – The Town Board and neighbors brought up the issue of headlights shining through the trees into the windows of adjacent residences.

Mitigation Offered: The development will include a berm, fence, or other barrier of sufficient height and length so as to block the headlights from cars in the parking lots, east of any road or parking lot. This is a SUP requirement for inclusion on the site plan.

13. **Reducing Cut-through Traffic** – Neighbors brought up the issue of people using the complex as a cut-through from East Henrietta Road to Lalanne Road.

Mitigation Offered: The pond, which creates a natural barrier to prevent cut-through, was extended to cover the majority of the property width and any necessary fencing would be added to the west of the inside edge of the 100' transition buffer to the adjacent residences to the east for any portion that is not pond or heavily wooded. This is a SUP requirement for inclusion on the site plan.

14. Construction Noise – The neighbors are concerned about construction noise.

Mitigation Offered: A large swath, approximately 75' of existing trees, would remain along the eastern boundary. The construction is such that it would be quickly encased in exterior walls, reducing noise impacts and all work would only be done during allowed construction hours.

15. **Construction Dust and Dirt** – The neighbors were concerned with the dust and dirt created by construction.

Mitigation Offered: A large swath, approximately 75' of existing trees, would remain along the eastern boundary. The developer would also keep soil moist to reduce dust.

16. **Dumpster Noise** – The Town Board raised the issue (at the neighborhood meeting) that the dumpsters should be located far from homes to reduce noise issues.

Mitigation Offered: Dumpster enclosure locations were moved to the western portion of the parking lots, moving them as far away from adjacent residences as practicable.

17. **Sidewalk Connectivity** – The Town Board raised the issue that the internal sidewalks did not connect to the street sidewalks.

Solution: The latest plans show the internal sidewalks connected to the street sidewalks.

- 18. **Proper Emergency Access** The Town Board raised the issue of ensuring that emergency vehicles, and especially fire trucks, could navigate the parking lots in order to respond to emergency calls. **Solution**: The drive aisles were widened to allow for easier turning radii, with those radii overlaid on the plans.
- 19. **Proper Fire Hydrant Access** The Town Board raised the question of adequate hydrant coverage for the easternmost portion of the buildings.

Solution: Additional private hydrants were added to the plans to minimize the distance between a hydrant and any point in a building.